

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOX:KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,519	06/27/2003	Masahiro Sawada	1155-0271P	6031
2292	7590 03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH & I	WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1731	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
-	10/607,519	SAWADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana L. Woodward	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	1. 1	: ·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{12/17/2004}{2004}$ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under b	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) /-/p is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) Lo is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/607,519

Art Unit: 1711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S.
   5,183,843 (Sakai et al) as per reasons of record.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Firstly, it is maintained that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed a metal salt of behenic acid because Sakai et al clearly disclose metal salts of behenic acid as useful at column 6, line 11. Metal salts of behenic acid clearly fall within the scope of applicants' claims as evidenced by new claim 6. Secondly, even if Sakai et al did not clearly disclose behenic acid, since patentees teach that metal salts of an acid having 26 carbons may be used, it is maintained that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a metal salt of an acid having 25 carbons, which meets applicants upper limit of carbon atoms. Applicants have not presented any evidence which establishes that a metal salt of acid having 25 carbons is different in any way from the metal salt of acid having 26 carbons of patentees', unexpectedly or otherwise. When the claimed range and the prior art range are very similar (i.e., 25 versus 26), the range of the prior art establishes prima facie obviousness because one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected the similar ranges to

Application/Control Number: 10/607,519

Art Unit: 1711

have the same properties. In re Peterson, 65 USPQ 1379. Applicants' reliance on the experimental data of record comparing a metal salt of an acid having 28 carbons with one derived from an acid having 22 carbons is not probative of unexpected results for the claims as presently recited.

## Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/607,519

Art Unit: 1711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ana IL. Woodward

Page 4

Examiner
Art Unit 1711

AW